UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,868	08/29/2003	Yousef M. Jarrah	8540G-000214	1008
27572 7590 09/18/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EXAMINER	
			WIEHE, NATHANIEL EDWARD	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/652,868	JARRAH, YOUSEF M.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NATHANIEL WIEHE	3745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with of 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10 July 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the limitation of "an inducer... including a height component in said axial direction (Z) that is substantially five to seven percent of an outer diameter of said impeller" would not have been obvious. Applicant also notes that the claims conform with rule 37 CFR 1.73 and to MPEP 2107.02(II). The examiner respectfully disagrees. While the claims are appropriate according to the rules, the true question is whether this individual limitation is obvious. Applicant expressly notes, "the instant application states that *three elements must be met* '[t]o ensure that a received fluid stream remains attached to the impeller blades 14 under any flow condition" [emphasis added]. Thus, the specification establishes criticality to the combination of all three elements, but does not render each individual element non-obvious when considered on its own.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adonakis (6,499,954) in view of Atkinson (2,819,012). Adonakis discloses a

Application/Control Number: 10/652,868

Art Unit: 3745

compressor having a vaneless diffuser in fluid communication with a volute (28) and an impeller (10) directing a fluid stream to the volute having a hub (16) and a plurality of blades (FB) extending spirally and having no axial variation, i.e. the blade is defined by a single radius (Adonakis column 4, lines 15-17). The leading edges (LE) of the blades (FB) are locate proximate to the hub while the trailing edges (TE) are proximate the volute (28). Applicant claims that a blade surface is defined by a polar angle as a function of the radius that appear to form a generally spiral shaped blade surface and since applicant has not further specified or defined the surface, the spirally oriented blades of Adonakis met the claim limitation. Adonakis does not disclose the use of an inducer. Atkinson discloses a centrifugal impeller (10) including a plurality of blades (24) and an inducer (23) formed integrally with the blades and extending along the entire leading edge. The inducer extends in a predominately axial direction. Further, Atkinson notes that the length of the inducer may be limited incompliance with rotor or tool requirements (Atkinson column 4, lines 15-20). The inducer of Atkinson accelerates the incoming flow in the circumferentially direction providing a smooth and uniform flow into the impeller blades thereby improving the efficiency of the impeller (Atkinson column 2, line 70- column 3, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the impeller of Adonakis by including an inducer as taught by Atkinson for the purpose of accelerating the incoming flow in the circumferential direction thereby improving efficiency of the impeller. The modified invention of Adonakis does not disclose the use of an inducer with a height between five to seven percent of the impeller outer diameter.

Page 3

Since applicant has not disclosed that having an inducer height that is substantially five to seven percent of the outer diameter of the impeller solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the fact that the inducer improves flow efficiency and it appears that the inducer Atkinson of would perform equally well with an inducer having an axial height that is five to seven percent of the diameter of the induce as claimed by applicant, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to further modify the invention of Adonakis by utilizing the dimension as claimed for the purpose of improving the flow efficiency.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHANIEL WIEHE whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/652,868 Page 5

Art Unit: 3745

(571)272-8648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. and alternate

Fri., 7am-4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571)272-4820. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NATHAN WIEHE/ Nathan Wiehe Examiner Art Unit 3745

/Edward K. Look/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3745